arXiv:1606.07704v1 [math.PR] 24 Jun 2016

LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS AND EIGENVALUES OF PRODUCTS OF RANDOM MATRICES

NANDA KISHORE REDDY

ABSTRACT. Let $X_1, X_2, \dots$ be a sequence of $i.i.d$ real (complex) $d \times d$ invertible random matrices with common distribution μ and $\sigma_1(n), \sigma_2(n), \dots, \sigma_d(n)$ be the singular values, $\lambda_1(n), \lambda_2(n), \dots, \lambda_d(n)$ be the eigenvalues of $X_n X_{n-1} \cdots X_1$ in the decreasing order of their absolute values for every n. It is known that if $\mathbf{E}(\log^+ \|X_1\|) < \infty$, then with probability one for all $1 \le p \le d$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sigma_p(n) = \gamma_p,$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_d$ are the Lyapunov exponents associated with μ. In this paper we show that under certain support and moment conditions on μ, the absolute values of eigenvalues also exhibit the same asymptotic behaviour. In fact, a stronger asymptotic relation holds between the singular values and the eigenvalues *i.e.* for any $r > 0$ with probability one for all $1 \le p \le d$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^r} \log \left(\frac{|\lambda_p(n)|}{\sigma_p(n)} \right) = 0,$$

which implies that the fluctuations of the eigenvalues have the same asymptotic distribution as that of the corresponding singular values. Isotropic random matrices and also random matrices with $i.i.d$ real elements, which have some finite moment and bounded density whose support contains an open set, are shown to satisfy the moment and support conditions under which the above relations hold.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lyapunov exponents and singular values of products of $i.i.d$ random matrices of fixed order have been studied extensively (see [3], [4]). Only recently, the study of eigenvalues of such matrices has begun, though they have been considered first in the setting of dynamical systems in [6] and there the absolute values of eigenvalues have been conjectured to show the same asymptotic behaviour as that of singular values. Recent comparative studies [1], [7] of singular values and eigenvalues in the case of Ginibre matrix ensembles have verified the conjecture to be true in the respective cases. And also [5] mentions this in the case of random truncated unitary matrices. For a summary of results on this topic, we refer the reader to [2]. In [1], asymptotic distributions of first order fluctuations of (logarithm of) both the singular values and the absolute values of eigenvalues for product of Ginibre matrices have been computed and shown to be equal and also a proof of this conjecture in the case of 2×2 isotropic random matrices has been given. Recently, a full proof in the case of isotropic random matrices has been obtained in [8]. In this paper we obtain a proof in more generality with only few conditions on the moments and support of the distribution of the random matrices involved.

Date: June 27, 2016.

Partially supported by UGC Centre for Advanced Studies. Research of Nanda Kishore reddy is supported by CSIR-SPM fellowship, CSIR, Government of India.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we provide definitions required to describe those moment and support conditions on the distribution of the random matrices. we also provide many useful facts there (all taken from [3]). In Section 3 we prove a lemma (19) regarding the right and left singular vectors of the product random matrices. Using the lemma, we obtain a proof for the asymptotic relation (22) between the singular values and the absolute values of the eigenvalues of product random matrices. In the case of random matrices with $i.i.d$ elements, we derive sufficient conditions on the distribution of elements for the result to hold. Throughout the paper we considered only real random matrices. As the facts quoted here from [3] carry over to the complex case also, Lemma 19 and Theorem 22 can easily be verified to be true in the complex case as well.

2. Definitions and Facts

Consider a sequence $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ of $i.i.d$ $d \times d$ real random matrices with common distribution μ. Let $S_n = Y_n \cdots Y_1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then the Lyapunov exponents are defined as follows.

Definition 1. If $\mathbf{E}(\log^+ \|Y_1\|) < \infty$ (we write a^+ for $\max(a, 0)$), the **first Lyapunov exponent** associated with μ is the element γ of $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ defined by

$$\gamma = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}(\log \|S_n\|)$$

The norm used is spectral norm. Since all norms on the finite dimensional vector spaces are equivalent, γ is independent of the chosen norm. The existence of the limit in the definition follows from the subadditivity of the sequence $\{\mathbf{E}(\log \|S_n\|), n \geq 1\}$ and Fekete's subadditive lemma. The definitions of next Lyapunov exponents involve exterior powers of matrices.

Given a matrix M, the p-th exterior power $\wedge^p M$ $(1 \leq p \leq d)$ is defined to be the $\binom{d}{p} \times \binom{d}{p}$ matrix, whose rows and columns are indexed by p-sized subsets of $\{1, 2 \ldots d\}$ in dictionary order, such that I, J-th element is given by

$$\wedge^p M_{I,J} = [M]_{I,J},$$

where $[M]_{I,J}$ denotes the $p \times p$ minor of M that corresponds to the rows with index in I and the columns with index in J. ($[M]_I$ denotes $[M]_{I,I}$). By Cauchy-Binet formula, it can be seen that

$$\wedge^p(MN) = (\wedge^p M)(\wedge^p N).$$

Let $M = U\Sigma V^*$ be a singular value decomposition of M and $\sigma_1(M) \geq \sigma_2(M) \cdots \geq \sigma_d(M)$ be singular values of M, then

$$\wedge^p M = (\wedge^p U)(\wedge^p \Sigma)(\wedge^p V^*)$$

is a singular value decomposition of $\wedge^p M$. Therefore

$$\| \wedge^p M\| = \sigma_1(M) \cdots \sigma_p(M).$$

Define $\ell(M) = \max(\log^+ \|M\|, \log^+ \|M^{-1}\|)$. Observe that $\frac{1}{p}|\log \| \wedge^p M\|| \leq \ell(M)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq d$. Let μ be as in previous definition.

Definition 2. The **Lyapunov exponents** $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_d$ associated with μ are defined inductively by $\gamma = \gamma_1$ and for $p \geq 2$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \gamma_i = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}(\log \| \wedge^p S_n \|).$$

If for some p $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \gamma_i = -\infty$, we put $\gamma_p = \gamma_{p+1} = \cdots \gamma_d = -\infty$.

Note that if Y_1 is invertible with probability one and $\mathbf{E}(\log^+ \|Y_1^{-1}\|)$ is also finite, then for any p

$$\frac{1}{p} |\sum_{i=1}^{p} \gamma_i| \leq \mathbf{E}(\ell(Y_1)) < \infty$$

so that all the Lyapunov exponents are finite.

The following fact gives almost sure convergence in the definition of Lyapunov exponents, if Y_1 is invertible random matrix. (See Theorem 4.1, Chapter I, [3]).

Fact 3. Let $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$ be *i.i.d* matrices in $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ and $S_n = Y_n \cdots Y_1$. If $\mathbf{E}(\log^+ \|Y_1\|) < \infty$, then with probability one

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|S_n\| = \gamma_1.$$

The above fact, when applied to the exterior powers of the random matrices considered there, gives the following fact (see Proposition 5.6, Chapter III, [3]).

Fact 4. If $\sigma_1(n) \geq \sigma_2(n) \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_d(n) > 0$ are singular values of S_n (with the assumptions of the previous fact), then with probability one, for $1 \leq p \leq d$

$$\gamma_p = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}(\log \sigma_p(n)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sigma_p(n).$$

Remark 5. The above fact implies $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_d$. It can also be seen from the above fact that the first two Lyapunov exponents associated with the measure of $\wedge^p Y_1$ are $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \gamma_i + \gamma_p$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \gamma_i + \gamma_{p+1}$ for any $1 \leq p \leq d$.

One immediate question would be whether the absolute values of eigenvalues of S_n also exhibit similar asymptotic behaviour. With a motivation from this problem, stability components are defined as follows.

Definition 6. If $\lambda_1(n), \lambda_2(n), \dots, \lambda_d(n)$ are eigenvalues of S_n such that $|\lambda_1(n)| \geq |\lambda_2(n)| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_d(n)| > 0$, then the **stability exponents** $\delta_1, \delta_2 \dots \delta_d$ associated with μ are defined as

$$\delta_p := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |\lambda_p(n)|$$

for all $1 \leq p \leq d$, in the cases where the limits exist.

Before we proceed to try to answer this question (which is positive at least in a few cases when the Lyapunov exponents are distinct), it is required to know the conditions on measure μ ensuring the distinctness of Lyapunov exponents and also to study the asymptotic behaviour of right and left singular vectors of S_n.

Definition 7. Let μ (resp. μ^*) be the probability measure of the invertible random matrix Y_1 (resp. Y_1^*) on $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\boldsymbol{T_\mu}$ is defined to be the smallest closed semigroup in $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ which contains the support of μ in $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$. (Support of μ in $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all points in $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ whose every open-neighbourhood has positive measure).

The following definitions are used to describe the sets T_μ for which the Lyapunov exponents are distinct.

Definition 8. Given a subset T of $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$, we define the index of T as the least integer r such that there exists a sequence $\{M_n, n \geq 0\}$ in T for which $\|M_n\|^{-1} M_n$ converges to a rank r matrix. We say that T is **contracting** when its index is one. T is said to be p-**contracting** if $\{\wedge^p M; M \in T\}$ is contracting.

Definition 9. Irreducibility,

- T is **irreducible**, if there is no proper linear subspace V of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $M(V) = V$ for any M in T.
- T is **strongly irreducible** if there does not exist a finite family of proper linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$, $V_1, V_2 \ldots V_k$ such that $M(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \ldots V_k) = (V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \ldots V_k)$ for any M in T.
- T is p-**strongly irreducible** if $\{\wedge^p M; M \in T\}$ is strongly irreducible.

Below is a very useful fact (see Lemma 3.3, Chapter III [3]).

Fact 10. The index of T_μ is equal to the index of T_{μ^*}. T_{μ^*} is strongly irreducible if T_μ is.

Below are some more facts regarding the distinctness of Lyapunov exponents, which we would use to study the asymptotic behaviour of right and left singular vectors of S_n. It is natural to assume that T_μ is irreducible (see exercise 5.3, Chapter I, [3]). The following fact gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the distinctness of the first two Lyapunov exponents. (See Theorem 6.1, Chapter III, [3] for the following fact).

Fact 11. Let μ be a probability measure on $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int \log^+ \|M\| d\mu(M)$ is finite. We suppose T_μ is irreducible. Then $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2$ if and only if T_μ is strongly irreducible and contracting.

Remark 12. If μ is a probability measure on $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int \log^+ \|M\| d\mu(M)$ is finite and T_μ is p-strongly irreducible and p-contracting for some $p \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$, then by applying the above fact to the p-th exterior power $\wedge^p M$ of random matrix M with distribution μ, it follows from Remark 5 that $\gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_{p-1} + \gamma_p > \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_{p-1} + \gamma_{p+1}$. Therefore $\gamma_p > \gamma_{p+1}$.

Fact 13. Let $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$ be *i.i.d* random elements of $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ with common distribution μ and $S_n = Y_n \cdots Y_1$. Consider a polar decomposition $S_n = U_n \Sigma_n V_n^*$ with U_n and V_n in $O(d)$ and $\Sigma_n = \mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1(n), \ldots, \sigma_d(n))$ with $\sigma_1(n) \geq \sigma_2(n) \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_d(n) > 0$. If T_μ is a strongly irreducible semigroup with index p, then

- The subspace spanned by $\{V_n e_1, \ldots, V_n e_p\}$ converges almost surely to a p-dimensional random subspace $\mathbb{V}$.
- For any vector u in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{P}(u \,\text{is orthogonal to}\, \mathbb{V}) = 0$.
- With probability one,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sigma_{p+1}(n)}{\|S_n\|} = 0.$$

See Theorem 3.1, Proposition 3.2, Chapter III, [3] for the above fact. From the above facts 4, 11 and 13, we can see that if $\int \log^+ \|M\| d\mu(M)$ is finite and T_μ is strongly irreducible and contracting, then

- $V_n e_1$ converges almost surely to a random vector $\boldsymbol{v}$.
- For any vector u in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{P}(u \text{ is orthogonal to } \boldsymbol{v}) = 0$.
- With probability one,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{\sigma_2(n)}{\sigma_1(n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = e^{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1} < 1.$$

Now we shall see that $V_n e_1$ converges exponentially fast to $\boldsymbol{v}$. We would also see that the direction of $V_n e_1$ converges in distribution to that of $\boldsymbol{v}$ exponentially fast. The proof for exponential convergence in distribution of $V_n e_1$ follows from that of $U_n e_1$, which we would prove by showing that the directions of $U_n e_1$ and $S_n e_1$ converge to each other exponentially fast. Exponential convergence in distribution of the direction of $S_n e_1$ is already known. We will recall few definitions from the literature, for the sake of completeness.

We say that two non-zero vectors x, y in $\mathbb{R}^d$ have the same direction if for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $x = \lambda y$. This defines an equivalence relation Γ on $\mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}$.

Definition 14. The set of directions in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is the projective space $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined as the quotient space $\mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}/\Gamma$. For $u \in \mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}$, $\overline{u}$ denotes its direction (i.e. its class in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$). For M in $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$, we set $\boldsymbol{M.\overline{u} = \overline{Mu}}$ *i.e.* $M.\overline{u}$ denotes a unit vector along the direction of Mu.

If u, v are two unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$, we define

$$\delta(\overline{u}, \overline{v}) = \sqrt{1 - |\langle u, v\rangle|^2},$$

where $\langle , \rangle$ denotes the usual Euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{R}^d$. $\delta(\overline{u}, \overline{v})$ defines a metric on $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We can identify $\overline{u}$ with the unit vector in the class $\overline{u}$, whose first non-zero coordinate is positive.

Definition 15. For a probability measure μ on $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$, a probability measure ν on $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is said to be **$\boldsymbol{\mu}$-invariant** if the distribution of $M.\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is also ν when M is independent of $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ and the distributions of M and $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ are μ and ν respectively.

The first Lyapunov exponent associated with μ can be computed with the help of any μ-invariant distribution on $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Fact 16. If T_μ is strongly irreducible, then the first Lyapunov exponent γ_1 associated with μ is given by

$$\gamma_1 = \iint \log \frac{\|Mx\|}{\|x\|} d\mu(M) d\nu(\overline{x}),$$

where ν is any μ-invariant distribution on $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

See Corollary 3.4, Chapter III, [3] for the above fact.

Fact 17. There exists a unique μ-invariant distribution on $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if T_μ is strongly irreducible and contracting.

See Theorem 3.1, Chapter III, [3] for the above fact. The following fact about convergence of the columns of S_n and the unique μ-invariant distribution is the key tool used in this paper. (See Proposition 2.3, Chapter V and Theorem 2.1, Chapter VI, [3]). Recall that $\ell(M) = \max(\log^+ \|M\|, \log^+ \|M^{-1}\|)$.

Fact 18. Let $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$ be *i.i.d* random elements of $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ with common distribution μ such that T_μ is strongly irreducible and contracting. Set $S_n = Y_n \cdots Y_1$ for all $n \geq 1$. If for some $\tau > 0$, $\mathbf{E}e^{\tau\ell(Y_1)}$ is finite, then

- there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that for each α in $(0, \alpha_0]$,

$$A_{\mu,\alpha} := \lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\sup_{\overline{u}\neq\overline{v}} \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\frac{\delta(S_n.\overline{u}, S_n.\overline{v})}{\delta(\overline{u},\overline{v})}\right)^\alpha\right]\right]^{\frac{1}{n}} < 1,$$

- there exists $\beta > 0$ such that the unique μ-invariant distribution ν on $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies

$$B_\mu := \sup_{\|y\|=1} \int \left[\frac{\|x\|}{|\langle x, y\rangle|}\right]^\beta d\nu(\overline{x}) < \infty.$$

3. Lemmas and Theorems

Now we state and prove the main lemma of this paper.

Lemma 19. *Let $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$ be i.i.d random elements of $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ with common distribution μ such that T_μ is strongly irreducible and contracting and for some $\tau > 0$, $\mathbf{E}e^{\tau\ell(Y_1)}$ is finite. Set $S_n = Y_n \cdots Y_1$ and consider a Singular value decomposition $S_n = U_n\Sigma_n V_n^*$ with U_n and V_n in $O(d)$, for all $n \geq 1$. Then for any $r > 0$*

(i) *for any sequence $\{\boldsymbol{u}_n, n \geq 1\}$ of random unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $S_n e_1$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_n$ are independent for each n, with probability one we have that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left|\frac{\langle S_n e_1, \boldsymbol{u}_n\rangle}{\|S_n e_1\|}\right|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} |\langle U_n e_1, \boldsymbol{u}_n\rangle|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1$$

(ii) *for any sequence $\{\boldsymbol{v}_n, n \geq 1\}$ of random unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $S_n^* e_1$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_n$ are independent for each n, with probability one we have that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left|\frac{\langle S_n^* e_1, \boldsymbol{v}_n\rangle}{\|S_n^* e_1\|}\right|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} |\langle V_n e_1, \boldsymbol{v}_n\rangle|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1.$$

Proof. For $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $x^{\frac{1}{a}} \leq x^{\frac{1}{b}} \leq 1$ if $0 < a < b$. So, it is enough to prove the lemma for $0 < r < 1$.

Proof of part(i):

Let $\boldsymbol{w}$ be a random unit vector, independent of $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{u}_n, n \geq 1\}$, such that the distribution of $\overline{\boldsymbol{w}}$ is the unique μ-invariant distribution ν on $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By definition, the distribution of $S_n.\overline{\boldsymbol{w}}$ is ν for each n. Since $\delta(\overline{u}, \overline{v})$ is a metric on $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have for any $0 \leq a_n < b_n \leq 1$ and a fixed unit vector u,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}(\delta(S_n.\overline{e_1}, \overline{u}) \geq b_n) &\leq \mathbf{P}(\delta(S_n.\overline{e_1}, S_n.\overline{\boldsymbol{w}}) \geq b_n - a_n) + \mathbf{P}(\delta(S_n.\overline{\boldsymbol{w}}, \overline{u}) \geq a_n) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{b_n - a_n}\mathbf{E}(\delta(S_n.\overline{e_1}, S_n.\overline{\boldsymbol{w}})) + \mathbf{P}(\delta(S_n.\overline{\boldsymbol{w}}, \overline{u}) \geq a_n)\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{b_n - a_n} \int \mathbf{E}(\delta(S_n.\overline{e_1}, S_n.\overline{w}))d\nu(\overline{w}) + \mathbf{P}(\delta(\overline{\boldsymbol{w}}, \overline{u}) \geq a_n)$$

choose $\alpha < 1$ and since $\delta(\overline{u}, \overline{v}) = \sqrt{1 - |\langle u, v\rangle|^2} \leq 1$

$$\leq \frac{1}{b_n - a_n} \int \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\frac{\delta(S_n.\overline{e_1}, S_n.\overline{w})}{\delta(\overline{e_1}, \overline{w})}\right)^{\alpha}\right] d\nu(\overline{w}) + \mathbf{P}(|\langle \boldsymbol{w}, u\rangle| \leq \sqrt{1 - a_n^2})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{b_n - a_n} \sup_{\overline{u} \neq \overline{v}} \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\frac{\delta(S_n.\overline{u}, S_n.\overline{v})}{\delta(\overline{u}, \overline{v})}\right)^{\alpha}\right] + (1 - a_n^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \sup_{\|y\|=1} \int \left[\frac{1}{|\langle w, y\rangle|}\right]^{\beta} d\nu(\overline{w}).$$

Notice that the upper bounds we got for $\mathbf{P}(\delta(S_n.\overline{e_1}, \overline{u}) \geq b_n)$ do not depend on the vector u for every n. It follows from the previous fact 18 that, for $\alpha < \min(1, \alpha_0)$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left[\frac{1}{b_n - a_n} \sup_{\overline{u} \neq \overline{v}} \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\frac{\delta(S_n.\overline{u}, S_n.\overline{v})}{\delta(\overline{u}, \overline{v})}\right)^{\alpha}\right]\right]^{\frac{1}{n}} = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \left[\frac{1}{b_n - a_n}\right]^{\frac{1}{n}} A_{\mu,\alpha}$$

Therefore if

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left[\frac{1}{b_n - a_n}\right]^{\frac{1}{n}} A_{\mu,\alpha} < 1 \text{ and } \sum_n (1 - a_n^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} < \infty,$$

then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\|u\|=1} \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{|\langle S_n e_1, u\rangle|}{\|S_n e_1\|} \leq \sqrt{1 - b_n^2}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\|u\|=1} \mathbf{P}(\delta(S_n.\overline{e_1}, \overline{u}) \geq b_n) < \infty.$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose $b_n = \sqrt{1 - e^{-2n^r\epsilon}}$ and $a_n = \sqrt{1 - e^{-2n^r\epsilon_1}}$ for some $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon$ and $0 < r < 1$. Then clearly

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left[\frac{1}{b_n - a_n}\right]^{\frac{1}{n}} A_{\mu,\alpha} = A_{\mu,\alpha} < 1 \text{ and } \sum_n (1 - a_n^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} < \infty.$$

Therefore for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\|u\|=1} \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{|\langle S_n e_1, u\rangle|}{\|S_n e_1\|} \leq e^{-n^r\epsilon}\right) < \infty.$$

Now for a sequence $\{\boldsymbol{u}_n, n \geq 1\}$ of random unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $S_n e_1$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_n$ are independent for each n, we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{|\langle S_n e_1, \boldsymbol{u}_n\rangle|}{\|S_n e_1\|} \leq e^{-n^r\epsilon}\right) < \infty.$$

By second Borel-Cantelli lemma, we conclude that with probability one for $0 < r < 1$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left|\frac{\langle S_n e_1, \boldsymbol{u}_n\rangle}{\|S_n e_1\|}\right|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1. \tag{1}$$

Recall the singular value decomposition $S_n = U_n \Sigma_n V_n^*$ with U_n and V_n in $O(d)$, for all $n \geq 1$. Let $\Sigma_n = \text{diag}(\sigma_1(n), \ldots, \sigma_d(n))$ with $\sigma_1(n) \geq \sigma_2(n) \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_d(n) > 0$. Now see that

$$\left\|\frac{S_n e_1}{\|S_n e_1\|} - U_n e_1\right\|^2 \leq 2\left[1 - \left|\frac{\langle S_n e_1, U_n e_1\rangle}{\|S_n e_1\|}\right|^2\right]$$

$$= 2\left[1 - \frac{\sigma_1^2(n)|\langle e_1, V_n e_1\rangle|^2}{\sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^2(n)|\langle e_i, V_n e_1\rangle|^2}\right]$$
$$= 2\frac{\sum_{i=2}^d \sigma_i^2(n)|\langle e_i, V_n e_1\rangle|^2}{\sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^2(n)|\langle e_i, V_n e_1\rangle|^2}$$
$$\leq \frac{2}{|\langle e_1, V_n e_1\rangle|^2}\frac{\sigma_2^2(n)}{\sigma_1^2(n)}$$

From the facts 4, 13 and 11, we know that $V_n e_1$ converges almost surely to a random vector $\boldsymbol{v}$ and with probability one

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |\langle e_1, V_n e_1\rangle| = |\langle e_1, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle| \neq 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{\sigma_2(n)}{\sigma_1(n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 0 < 1.$$

Therefore with probability one,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{\langle S_n e_1, \boldsymbol{u}_n\rangle}{\|S_n e_1\|} - \langle U_n e_1, \boldsymbol{u}_n\rangle\right|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\|\frac{S_n e_1}{\|S_n e_1\|} - U_n e_1\right\|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 0 < 1 \tag{2}$$

If $\lim_{n\to\infty}|a_n|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1$ and $\limsup_{n\to\infty}|b_n|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} < 1$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty}|a_n + b_n|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1$. It follows from here, using equations (1) and (2), that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}|\langle U_n e_1, \boldsymbol{u}_n\rangle|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1$$

Proof of part(ii):

Let μ^* be the distribution of Y_1^*. Then by fact 10, T_{μ^*} is also strongly irreducible and contracting. Since $\ell(Y_1^*) = \ell(Y_1)$, $\mathbf{E}e^{\tau l(Y_1^*)}$ is also finite. Therefore μ^* satisfies the conditions in the hypothesis of this lemma. Consider the matrices $Y_1^*, Y_2^*, \ldots$ and set $M_n = Y_n^* \cdots Y_1^*$ for each n. Then by the proof of part (i) of this lemma, we have that for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sup_{\|v\|=1}\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{|\langle M_n e_1, v\rangle|}{\|M_n e_1\|} \leq e^{-n^r\epsilon}\right) < \infty.$$

The distribution of M_n is the same as that of S_n^*, so

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sup_{\|v\|=1}\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{|\langle S_n^* e_1, v\rangle|}{\|S_n^* e_1\|} \leq e^{-n^r\epsilon}\right) < \infty.$$

For any sequence $\{\boldsymbol{v}_n, n \geq 1\}$ of random unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $S_n^* e_1$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_n$ are independent for each n, we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{|\langle S_n^* e_1, \boldsymbol{v}_n\rangle|}{\|S_n^* e_1\|} \leq e^{-n^r\epsilon}\right) < \infty.$$

By second Borel-Cantelli lemma, we conclude that with probability one,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{\langle S_n^* e_1, \boldsymbol{v}_n\rangle}{\|S_n^* e_1\|}\right|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1. \tag{3}$$

Now by a computation done earlier in the proof, we have

$$\left\| \frac{S_n^* e_1}{\|S_n^* e_1\|} - V_n e_1 \right\|^2 \leq \frac{2}{|\langle e_1, U_n e_1 \rangle|^2} \frac{\sigma_2^2(n)}{\sigma_1^2(n)}.$$

By part (i) of this lemma, we have with probability one

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |\langle U_n e_1, e_1 \rangle|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1,$$

which implies that with probability one,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left| \frac{\langle S_n^* e_1, \boldsymbol{v}_n \rangle}{\|S_n^* e_1\|} - \langle V_n e_1, \boldsymbol{v}_n \rangle \right|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \left\| \frac{S_n^* e_1}{\|S_n^* e_1\|} - V_n e_1 \right\|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 0 < 1. \tag{4}$$

From the equations (3) and (4), we can conclude that with probability one

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |\langle V_n e_1, \boldsymbol{v}_n \rangle|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1$$

■

Now we proceed to the main theorem of this paper which states conditions on the measure μ for the first Lyapunov and stability exponents to match.

Theorem 20. *Let $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$ be i.i.d random elements of $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ with common distribution μ such that T_μ is strongly irreducible and contracting. Set $S_n = Y_n \cdots Y_1$ and let $\lambda_1(n)$ be an eigenvalue of S_n with maximum absolute value and $\sigma_1(n)$ be the maximum singular value of S_n, for all $n \geq 1$. If for some $\tau > 0$, $\mathbf{E}e^{\tau \ell(Y_1)}$ is finite, then for any $r > 0$ with probability one*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^r} \log \left(\frac{|\lambda_1(n)|}{\sigma_1(n)} \right) = 0.$$

Proof. Since $|\mathrm{Tr}(S_n)| \leq d|\lambda_1(n)| \leq d\sigma_1(n)$, it is enough to show that, with probability one

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^r} \log \frac{|\mathrm{Tr}(S_n)|}{\sigma_1(n)} = 0.$$

Also, it is enough to show for $0 < r < 1$.

For $n > k \geq 0$, set $S_{n,k} = Y_n \cdots Y_{k+1}$. See that $S_{n,0} = S_n$ and $S_{n,0} = S_{n,k} S_{k,0}$. Consider a singular value decomposition $S_{n,k} = U_{n,k} \Sigma_{n,k} V_{n,k}^*$ with $U_{n,k}$ and $V_{n,k}$ in $O(d)$ and $\Sigma_{n,k} = \mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1(n,k), \ldots, \sigma_d(n,k))$ with $\sigma_1(n,k) \geq \sigma_2(n,k) \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_d(n,k) > 0$, for all $n > k \geq 0$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}(S_n) &= \mathrm{Tr}(S_{n,k} S_{k,0}) = \mathrm{Tr}(U_{n,k} \Sigma_{n,k} V_{n,k}^* U_{k,0} \Sigma_{k,0} V_{k,0}^*) \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}(\Sigma_{n,k} V_{n,k}^* U_{k,0} \Sigma_{k,0} V_{k,0}^* U_{n,k}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(n,k) \langle e_i, V_{n,k}^* U_{k,0} \Sigma_{k,0} V_{k,0}^* U_{n,k} e_i \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_i(n,k) \langle e_i, V_{n,k}^* U_{k,0} e_j \rangle \sigma_j(k,0) \langle e_j, V_{k,0}^* U_{n,k} e_i \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_i(n,k) \sigma_j(k,0) \langle V_{n,k} e_i, U_{k,0} e_j \rangle \langle V_{k,0} e_j, U_{n,k} e_i \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By Fact 4, we have with probability one, for all $1 \leq p \leq d$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sigma_p(n,0) = \gamma_p. \tag{5}$$

Since $\sigma_d^2(k,0) S_{n,k} S_{n,k}^* \leq S_n S_n^* \leq \sigma_1^2(k,0) S_{n,k} S_{n,k}^*$, we can see by Min-max theorem that for all $1 \leq p \leq d$,

$$\sigma_p(n,k)\sigma_d(k,0) \leq \sigma_p(n,0) \leq \sigma_p(n,k)\sigma_1(k,0). \tag{6}$$

Since $\mathbf{E}e^{\tau \ell(Y_1)}$ is finite, $\mathbf{E}(\log^+ \|Y_1^{-1}\|)$ is also finite which implies that all the Lyapunov exponents $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_d$ associated with μ are finite. Let $k \to \infty$ and $n \to \infty$ such that $\frac{k}{n^r} \to 1$ for $0 < r < 1$, then by (5) and (6) we have with probability one, for all $1 \leq p \leq d$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sigma_p(n,k) = \gamma_p. \tag{7}$$

Since T_μ is strongly irreducible and contracting, by Fact 11, $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2$. This implies that for $i \neq 1$ or $j \neq 1$ with probability one as $\frac{k}{n^r} \to 1$ for $0 < r < 1$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left| \frac{\sigma_i(n,k)\sigma_j(k,0)}{\sigma_1(n,k)\sigma_1(k,0)} \right|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} \leq \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} < 1. \tag{8}$$

Since $V_{n,k}e_1$, $U_{n,k}e_1$ are independent of $U_{k,0}e_1$,$V_{k,0}e_1$ for every $n > k > 0$, by previous lemma 19 as $k \to \infty$ and $n \to \infty$ such that $\frac{k}{n^r} \to 1$ for $0 < r < 1$ we get with probability one that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |\langle V_{n,k}e_1, U_{k,0}e_1\rangle \langle V_{k,0}e_1, U_{n,k}e_1\rangle|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1 \tag{9}$$

If $\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1$ and $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |b_n|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} < 1$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n + b_n|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1$. So, using (8) and (9) in $\mathrm{Tr}(S_n)$ we get that with probability one

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left| \frac{|\mathrm{Tr}(S_n)|}{\sigma_1(n,k)\sigma_1(k,0)} \right|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1.$$

Since $|\mathrm{Tr}(S_n)| \leq d|\lambda_1(n)| \leq d\sigma_1(n) \leq d\sigma_1(n,k)\sigma_1(k,0)$, we get that with probability one

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left| \frac{\lambda_1(n)}{\sigma_1(n)} \right|^{\frac{1}{n^r}} = 1.$$

This concludes the proof. ■

Remark 21. Under the hypothesis of the above theorem, it is known that (see Theorem 5.1 Chapter V [3]) $\sqrt{n}(\frac{1}{n}\log\sigma_1(n) - \gamma_1)$ converges in distribution to $N(0, a^2)$ for some $a > 0$. From the above theorem, by taking $r = \frac{1}{2}$, we get that $\sqrt{n}(\frac{1}{n}\log|\lambda_1(n)| - \gamma_1)$ also converges in distribution to $N(0, a^2)$.

With further conditions on T_μ, we can prove the result for all the eigenvalues of S_n.

Theorem 22. *Let $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$ be i.i.d random elements of $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ with common distribution μ such that T_μ is p-strongly irreducible and p-contracting for all $1 \leq p \leq d$. Set $S_n = Y_n \cdots Y_1$ and let $\lambda_1(n), \lambda_2(n), \ldots, \lambda_d(n)$ be the eigenvalues of S_n such that $|\lambda_1(n)| \geq |\lambda_2(n)| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_d(n)| > 0$ and $\sigma_1(n), \sigma_2(n), \ldots, \sigma_d(n)$ be the singular values of S_n such that $|\sigma_1(n)| \geq$*

$|\sigma_2(n)| \geq \cdots \geq |\sigma_d(n)| > 0$, *for all* $n \geq 1$. *If for some* $\tau > 0$, $\mathbf{E}e^{\tau \ell(Y_1)}$ *is finite, then for any* $r > 0$ *with probability one*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^r} \log \left(\frac{|\lambda_p(n)|}{\sigma_p(n)} \right) = 0,$$

for all $1 \leq p \leq d$.

Proof. The distinctness of the Lyapunov exponents follows from Remark 12. Since $\frac{1}{p} l(\wedge^p M) \leq \ell(M)$ and T_μ is p-strongly irreducible and p-contracting, for any $1 \leq p \leq d$, the sequence $\{\wedge^p Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ of random matrices satisfies the conditions of the previous Theorem. $\lambda_1(n)\lambda_2(n)\cdots\lambda_p(n)$ is an eigenvalue of $\wedge^p Y_1 \wedge^p Y_2 \cdots \wedge^p Y_n$ with maximum absolute value. Therefore, with probability one, for all $1 \leq p \leq d$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^r} \log \left(\frac{|\lambda_1(n)\cdots\lambda_p(n)|}{\sigma_1(n)\cdots\sigma_p(n)} \right) = 0,$$

which proves the theorem. ■

The following facts give us some criteria which can be used to check if T_μ is p-strongly irreducible and p-contracting, for any $1 \leq p \leq d$.

Fact 23. T_μ is p-strongly irreducible and p-contracting, for any $1 \leq p \leq d$, if there exists a matrix M such that

- $\|M\|^{-1}M$ is not orthogonal,
- for any $K \in O(d)$, KMK^{-1} is in T_μ.

See proposition 2.5, Chapter IV, [3] for the above fact. In the case of isotropic random matrices, the above fact can be used to verify the conditions of the theorem.

Fact 24. If $\{|\det M|^{-\frac{1}{d}} M; M \in T_\mu\}$ contains an open set of $\{M \in GL_d(\mathbb{R}); |\det M| = 1\}$, then T_μ is p-strongly irreducible and p-contracting, for any $1 \leq p \leq d$.

See proposition 2.3, Remart 2.4, Chapter IV, [3] for the above fact. Matrices with $i.i.d$ elements are the most basic examples of random matrix theory. The following corollary gives conditions on the distribution of matrix elements for the main theorem to hold.

Corollary 25. *Let* ξ *be a real valued continuous random variable whose support contains an open set and there exists* $\tau > 0$ *such that*

$$\mathbf{E}|\xi|^\tau < \infty \text{ and } \sup_{a\in\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{E}\,|\xi - a|^{-\tau} < \infty.$$

Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ *be a sequence of* $d \times d$ $i.i.d$ *random matrices whose elements are* $i.i.d$ *random variables distributed like* ξ *and* $\lambda_1(n), \lambda_2(n), \ldots, \lambda_d(n)$ *be the eigenvalues,* $\sigma_1(n), \sigma_2(n), \ldots, \sigma_d(n)$ *be the singular values of* $X_n X_{n-1} \cdots X_1$ *in the decreasing order of their absolute values for every* n. *Then for any* $r > 0$ *with probability one for all* $1 \leq p \leq d$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^r} \log \left(\frac{|\lambda_p(n)|}{\sigma_p(n)} \right) = 0.$$

Proof. Since ξ is continuous random variable, X_1 is invertible with probability one. For each $n \geq 1$, we can get an invertible random matrix Y_n such that X_n and Y_n are equal with probability one, by defining Y_n to be the identity matrix on the set where X_n is not invertible. Let μ be the probability measure of Y_1 on $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ and B be an open interval

contained in the support of ξ. By the definition of X_1 and Y_1, we can see that the invertible matrices, whose all elements are from B, belong to the support of μ. we can choose two numbers a and b from B such that $M_0 = (b-a)I + aJ$, where I is the identity matrix and J is the matrix with all its elements equal to one, is invertible. Clearly M_0 is in the support of μ. We can choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that every matrix in the ϵ-neighbourhood of M_0 is invertible and has all its elements from B. That is, ϵ-neighbourhood of M_0 is in the support of μ. For every matrix N in

$$\mathbb{A} = \{N \in GL_d(\mathbb{R}); \|N - |\det M_0|^{-\frac{1}{d}} M_0\| < |\det M_0|^{-\frac{1}{d}}\epsilon, |\det N| = 1\},$$

we have that $|\det M_0|^{\frac{1}{d}} N$ is in the ϵ-neighbourhood of M_0, therefore in the support of μ. Therefore

$$\mathbb{A} \subseteq \{|\det M|^{-\frac{1}{d}} M; M \in T_\mu\}$$

and $\mathbb{A}$ is an open set of $\{N \in GL_d(\mathbb{R}); |\det N| = 1\}$. By Fact 24, T_μ is p-strongly irreducible and p-contracting, for any $1 \le p \le d$.

Now in order to apply Theorem 22 to the sequence of random matrices $\{Y_n, n \ge 1\}$, we need to show that for some $\tau > 0$, $\mathbf{E}e^{\tau \ell(Y_1)}$ is finite. Let X be a random matrix with $i.i.d$ elements distributed like ξ. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}e^{\tau\ell(Y_1)} &= \mathbf{E}e^{\tau\ell(X)} = \mathbf{E}e^{\max(\log^+\|X\|, \log^+\|X^{-1}\|)} \\
&\le \mathbf{E}\|X\|^\tau + \mathbf{E}\|X^{-1}\|^\tau \\
&\le \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{i,j=1}^d |X_{i,j}|\right]^\tau + \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{i,j=1}^d |X_{i,j}^{-1}|\right]^\tau \\
&\quad \text{(where } X_{i,j}^{-1} \text{ is } i,j\text{-th element of } X^{-1}) \\
&\le d^{2\tau}\mathbf{E}\left[\max_{i,j}|X_{i,j}|\right]^\tau + d^{2\tau}\mathbf{E}\left[\max_{i,j}|X_{i,j}^{-1}|\right]^\tau \\
&= d^{2\tau}\mathbf{E}\max_{i,j}|X_{i,j}|^\tau + d^{2\tau}\mathbf{E}\max_{i,j}|X_{i,j}^{-1}|^\tau \\
&\le d^{2\tau}\mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{i,j=1}^d |X_{i,j}|^\tau\right] + d^{2\tau}\mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{i,j=1}^d |X_{i,j}^{-1}|^\tau\right] \\
&= d^{2\tau+2}\mathbf{E}|\xi|^\tau + d^{2\tau+2}\mathbf{E}|X_{1,1}^{-1}|^\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have,

$$\frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{E}|\xi|^\tau + \mathbf{E}|X_{1,1}^{-1}|^\tau] \le \mathbf{E}e^{\tau\ell(Y_1)} \le d^{2\tau+2}\left[\mathbf{E}|\xi|^\tau + \mathbf{E}|X_{1,1}^{-1}|^\tau\right].$$

If $X = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & u^* \\ v & C \end{pmatrix}$, then $X_{1,1}^{-1} = (\xi - u^*C^{-1}v)^{-1}$. Notice that u, v, C are independent of ξ. Therefore by the given conditions on ξ, we have

$$\frac{1}{d^{2\tau+2}}\mathbf{E}e^{\tau\ell(Y_1)} \le \mathbf{E}|\xi|^\tau + \mathbf{E}|X_{1,1}^{-1}|^\tau \le \mathbf{E}|\xi|^\tau + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{E}\,|\xi - a|^{-\tau} < \infty.$$

It is clear that $\lambda_1(n), \lambda_2(n), \ldots, \lambda_d(n)$ are the eigenvalues and $\sigma_1(n), \sigma_2(n), \ldots, \sigma_d(n)$ are the singular values of $Y_nY_{n-1}\cdots Y_1$ for each n, with probability one. Since the distribution μ of

Y_1 satisfies the conditions of Theorem 22,for any $r > 0$ with probability one

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^r} \log\left(\frac{|\lambda_p(n)|}{\sigma_p(n)}\right) = 0.$$

for all $1 \le p \le d$. ■

Remark 26. If the random variable ξ has bounded density, then $\sup_{a\in\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{E}\,|\xi - a|^{-\tau} < \infty$ for any $0 < \tau < 1$. Let us say f is the probability density of ξ ,bounded by K, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\,|\xi - a|^{-\tau} &= \sup_{a\in\mathbb{R}} \int |x-a|^{-\tau} f(x)dx \\
&= \int_{|x-a|<\epsilon} |x-a|^{-\tau} f(x)dx + \int_{|x-a|\ge\epsilon} |x-a|^{-\tau} f(x)dx \qquad (\epsilon > 0) \\
&\le K \int_{|x-a|<\epsilon} |x-a|^{-\tau} dx + \epsilon^{-\tau} \\
&= K\frac{2\epsilon^{1-\tau}}{1-\tau} + \epsilon^{-\tau} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ, K, τ do not depend on a, we get that

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{E}\,|\xi - a|^{-\tau} < \infty.$$

Acknowledgments: The author is grateful to Prof. Manjunath Krishnapur for suggesting this problem.

References

[1] Gernot Akemann, Zdzislaw Burda, and Mario Kieburg, *Universal distribution of lyapunov exponents for products of ginibre matrices*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **47** (2014), no. 39, 395202.

[2] Gernot Akemann and Jesper R. Ipsen, *Recent exact and asymptotic results for products of independent random matrices*, Acta Physica Polonica B **46** (2015), no. 9, 1747–1784.

[3] Philippe Bougerol and Jean Lacroix, *Products of random matrices with applications to Schrödinger operators*, Progress in Probability and Statistics, vol. 8, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1985. MR 886674 (88f:60013)

[4] Joel E. Cohen, Harry Kesten, and Charles M. Newman (eds.), *Random matrices and their applications*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 50, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986. MR 841077

[5] Peter J Forrester, *Asymptotics of finite system lyapunov exponents for some random matrix ensembles*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **48** (2015), no. 21, 215205.

[6] Isaac Goldhirsch, Pierre-Louis Sulem, and Steven A. Orszag, *Stability and Lyapunov stability of dynamical systems: a differential approach and a numerical method*, Phys. D **27** (1987), no. 3, 311–337. MR 913683 (89f:34068)

[7] J R Ipsen, *Lyapunov exponents for products of rectangular real, complex and quaternionic ginibre matrices*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **48** (2015), no. 15, 155204.

[8] Nanda Kishore Reddy, *Equality of lyapunov and stability exponents for products of isotropic random matrices*, [arXiv:1601.02888] (2016).

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India
E-mail address: kishore11@math.iisc.ernet.in